

SIMULATION MODEL TO EVALUATE PERFORMANCE OF
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS AND THEIR IMPACT ON REPAIR SHOP
ACTIVITY AT A NAVY FIELD SITE

BY

JAMES T. NEWELL
B.S.E., University of Central Florida, 1975

RESEARCH REPORT

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science:
Industrial Engineering
in the Graduate Studies Program of the
College of Engineering
at the University of Central Florida; Orlando, Florida

Fall Quarter
1979

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the background and procedures leading to development of a simulation model to analyze the impact of certain decision variables on operational system performance and workloads at the repair facility of a typical Navy field site.

The research examines the impact of maintenance support concepts, as implemented by changes in the decision variables, associated with the broader application of Automatic Test Equipment. The initial effort consisted of data collection and field site surveys which culminated in defining a work flow model illustrating typical repair facility operations.

The work flow model is translated into a computer simulation model. The baseline model contains all the values for failure rates, delay times, and probability decision parameters derived from the available data.

The simulation model is then exercised and the output data recorded for comparison with historical data to validate the model and provide a baseline for comparison as the decision parameters are varied. Of the variables exercised, it appears that the Built-in-Test (BIT), or Self-test capability, is one of the more important design considerations in the original operating systems.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES.	vi
Chapter	
I. INTRODUCTION.	1
Background	
Objectives of Research Project	
General Description of the Model	
II. DEVELOPMENT OF THE MODEL.	12
General Logic of the Model	
Detailed Operating Requirements of the Model	
The Operating System (On-Line) Phase	
The Repair Shop (Off-Line) Phase	
Internal Functional Details of Blocks R2,	
R3, and R5	
Performance Verification Blocks R2	
and R5	
Diagnostic Test Block R3	
III. PROGRAMMING THE SIMULATION MODEL.	31
General Description of the Programming Language	
Programming the Operating System Phase	
Establishing the Transaction Specifications	
Operating System Program Block Functions	
(Blocks 1 - 60)	
Programming the Repair Shop Phase	
Repair Shop Program Block Functions	
(Blocks 61-105)	
Performance Verification Subroutine	
(Blocks 106-122)	
Diagnostic Test Subroutine	
(Blocks 123-141)	
Miscellaneous Block Functions	
IV. MODEL RESULTS	58
BIT or Self-Test Accuracy	
Spares Level Probability	
Performance Verification Accuracy	
Diagnostic Test Accuracy	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

V. CONCLUSIONS	76
APPENDIX A: PROGRAM LISTING	81
APPENDIX B: BLOCK COUNTS.	92
APPENDIX C: FACILITY STATISTICS	109
APPENDIX D: QUEUE STATISTICS.	114
APPENDIX E: FIELD SURVEY SHEET (Facsimile).	119
APPENDIX F: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE.	121
APPENDIX G: MULTI-REGRESSION ANALYSIS	124
BIBLIOGRAPHY	128

LIST-OF TABLES

1.	Average Systems Availability Changes due to Self-Test Accuracy	64
2.	Total System Maintenance Time Changes due to Self-Test Accuracy	65
3.	Repair Shop Workload Changes due to Self-Test Accuracy	66
4.	Average Systems Availability Changes due to Spares Level	68
5.	Failures Entering Repair Shop with No Spare	69
6.	Total System Maintenance Time Changes due to Spares Level	70
7.	Average Systems Availability Changes due to PVS Accuracy	72
8.	Repair Shop Maintenance Time Changes due to PVS Accuracy	72
9.	Average Systems Availability Changes due to DTS Accuracy	74
10.	Repair Shop Maintenance Time Changes due to DTS Accuracy	74
11.	Average Response by Decision Variable.	77
12.	Labor Variation due to Decision Variable Change	79

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	General Flow of Failed Items	7
2.	Operating System Model (Typical)	14
3.	Repair Shop Model.	20
4.	Performance Verification Routine	26
5.	Diagnostic Test Routine.	26

